PRIOS Evaluative Criteria for the System Requirements Specification document

	Criteria	Excellent	Present	Emerging	Deficient
Purpose	<ul> <li>How well does the document describe the system requirements?</li> <li>How well does the document provide new knowledge and understanding of the system requirements for the readers?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document clearly describes the system requirements with a lot of details.</li> <li>Document provides readers with strong understanding of the system requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document clearly describes the system requirements.</li> <li>Document leads readers to understand the system requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document describes the system requirements but not very clear.</li> <li>Document helps readers to understand some of the system requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document does not describe the system requirements.</li> <li>Document leaves readers with no understanding of the system requirements.</li> </ul>
Reader/ Rhetoric -al situation	<ul> <li>How effectively does the document address the readers' needs?</li> <li>How sensitive is the document to the background knowledge of the readers?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document clearly anticipates how readers will approach and reason about the system requirements.</li> <li>The document is overtly designed for providing the necessary background knowledge for all categories of readers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document anticipates how the readers will think about the system requirements.</li> <li>The document is designed for providing background knowledge for all categories of readers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document anticipates some of the readers' thinking processes.</li> <li>The document's design fails to provide some of the background knowledge for the readers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document does not anticipate the readers' thinking processes.</li> <li>The document does not provide the necessary background knowledge for the readers.</li> </ul>
Inform- ation	<ul> <li>How well does the information address different readers' needs?</li> <li>How credible and ethical is the information?</li> <li>How well researched and accurate is the information?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Information strengthens the readers' ability to strongly understand the system requirements.</li> <li>Information is researched, documented, complete, ethical, and accurate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Information allows the readers to understand the system requirements.</li> <li>Information is researched, documented, complete, ethical, and accurate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Readers must fill in information gaps and struggle to understand the system requirements.</li> <li>Information is researched and documented but is incomplete and inaccurate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Information has major gaps and readers are not able to understand the system requirements.</li> <li>Information is incomplete, inaccurate, and unethical.</li> </ul>
Organiz- ation	<ul> <li>How well organized is the information for the readers?</li> <li>How effective is the document formatting?</li> <li>How effectively does the document follow the System Requirements Document Guide (SRDG)?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document formatting and sequencing of information are designed for readers' understanding of the system requirements.</li> <li>Document follows—but modifies if necessary—the SRDG.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Document formatting and sequencing of information allow for readers' understanding of the system requirements.</li> <li>Document follows the SRDG.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Readers sometimes struggle to sequence and process information but can understand most of the system requirements.</li> <li>Document partially follows the SRDG.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Readers fail to process and sequence information and are unable to understand the system requirements.</li> <li>Document fails to follow the SRDG.</li> </ul>
Style	<ul> <li>How effective is the author's voice and style for this writing situation?</li> <li>How appropriate is word choice?</li> <li>How well does the document follow standards of grammar, mechanics, and usage?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Voice, word choice, and sentence and paragraph construction clearly meet the readers' expectations and conventions of the genre.</li> <li>Grammar, mechanics, and usage match the readers' needs, conventions of the genre, and reading situation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Voice, word choice, and sentence and paragraph construction are suitable for the readers' expectations and conventions of the genre.</li> <li>Grammar, mechanics, and usage match the conventions of the genre.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Voice, word choice, and sentence and paragraph construction often do not meet readers' expectations and conventions of the genre.</li> <li>Grammar, mechanics, and usage match the conventions of the genre.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Voice, word choice, and sentence and paragraph construction do not meet the readers' expectations and conventions of the genre.</li> <li>Grammar, mechanics, and usage are frequently a problem, given the conventions of the genre.</li> </ul>